of the Committee, this provision in our recommendation for polling lawyers and that it be published received careful and full consideration in our Committee. Delegate Proposal 5 as referred to our Committee in dealing with this matter made it permissive. The word was "may" and not "shall".

Initially the vote in our Committee whether the provisions for the poll should be contained in the language of the recommendation of the study commission was very close. The closeness of the vote, however, as I recollect the discussion, was that if such a poll was permissive and not mandatory, then the danger was greater, that it was great that it might in rare instances be used in an effort to dominate the thinking or guidance of the voters who would have an opportunity to vote Yea or Nay on the continuance of a judge in office.

After the word "may" was stricken and the word "shall" was added, the consideration by our Committee was by rather substantial vote to include this provision in the recommendation to this Convention.

We feel that in some areas here, we are arguing with respect to lawyers that they are dominated by judges.